arXiv:1511.07422v1 [stat.ML] 20 Nov 2015

Variational Bayes Factor Analysis for i-Vector Extraction

Jesús Villalba

Communications Technology Group (GTC),
Aragon Institute for Engineering Research (I3A),
University of Zaragoza, Spain
villalba@unizar.es

May 11, 2012

1 Introduction

In this document we are going to derive the equations needed to implement a Variational Bayes i-vector extractor. This can be used to extract longer i-vectors reducing the risk of overfittig or to adapt an i-vector extractor from a database to another with scarce development data. This work is based on [1] and [2].

2 The Model

2.1 JFA

Joint Factor Analysis for i-vector extraction is a linear generative model represented in Figure 1.



Figure 1: BN for i-vector extractor.

This model assumes that speech frames are generated by a special type of mixture of factor analysers. An speech frame $\mathbf{x}_{it}$ of a session i and generated by the component k of the mixture model can be written as:

$$\mathbf{x}_{it} = \mathbf{m}_k + \mathbf{W}_k\mathbf{y}_i + \epsilon_{itk} \tag{1}$$

where $\mathbf{m}_k$ is a session independent term, $\mathbf{W}_k$ is a low-rank factor loading matrix, $\mathbf{y}_i$ is the factor vector, and ϵ_{itk} is a residual term. The prior distribution for the variables:

$$\mathbf{y}_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{y}_i|\mathbf{0},\mathbf{I}) \tag{2}$$

$$\epsilon_{itk} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\epsilon_{itk}|\mathbf{0},\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\right) \tag{3}$$

where $\mathcal{N}$ denotes a Gaussian distribution.

This model differs from a standard mixture of FA in the way in which the factors are tied. In traditional FA, we have a different value of $\mathbf{y}$ for each frame and each component of the mixture of the session. On the contrary, in this model we share the same value of $\mathbf{y}$ for all the frames and mixture components of the same session.

We can define the session mean vector for component k as

$$\mathbf{M}_{ik} = \mathbf{m}_k + \mathbf{W}_k \mathbf{y}_i \ . \tag{4}$$

In this manner, each frame is a session mean plus the residual term:

$$\mathbf{x}_{it} = \mathbf{M}_{ik} + \epsilon_{itk} \ . \tag{5}$$

We find convening stacking the means and factor loading matrices of all components to form a mean supervector:

$$\mathbf{M}_i = \mathbf{m} + \mathbf{W}\mathbf{y}_i \tag{6}$$

For this work, we are going to assume that $\mathbf{m}$ and $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ are given. We estimate them by EM-iterations of simple GMM. Besides, we assume that $P(\mathbf{z}_{it})$ are known and fixed. In practice, we compute them using the GMM.

2.2 Notation

We define:

- Let $\mathbf{X}_i$ be the frames of session i.
- Let $\mathbf{X}$ be the frames of all sessions.
- Let $\mathbf{Y}$ be the factors of all sessions.
- Let d be the features dimension.
- Let n_y be the factor dimension.
- Let K be the number of components of the mixture of FA.
- Let $\mathbf{\Sigma}_k = \mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}$.

3 Sufficient statistics

We define the statistics for segment i and component k as:

$$N_{ik} = \sum_t^{N_i} P(\mathbf{z}_{itk} = 1)\, \mathbf{F}_{ik} = \sum_t^{N_i} P(\mathbf{z}_{itk} = 1)\, \mathbf{x}_{it} \tag{7}$$

We define the normalized sufficient statistics for component k as:

$$\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{ik} = \sum_t^{N_i} P(\mathbf{z}_{itk} = 1)\, \mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{1/2} (\mathbf{x}_{it} - \mathbf{m}_k) = \mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{1/2} (\mathbf{F}_{ik} - N_{ik}\mathbf{m}_k) \tag{8}$$

If we normalize the sufficient statistics in mean and variance it is the same as having a FA model with $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{\Sigma} = \mathbf{I}$. As we assume that $\mathbf{m}$ and $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ are fixed, doing that we can simplify the equations.

We define, too:

$$\mathbf{N}_i = \begin{bmatrix} N_{i1}\mathbf{I}_d & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & N_{i2}\mathbf{I}_d & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & N_{iK}\mathbf{I}_d \end{bmatrix}, \quad \overline{\mathbf{F}}_i = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{i1} \\ \vdots \\ \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{iK} \end{bmatrix} \tag{9}$$

where $\mathbf{I}_d$ is the identity matrix of dimension d.

We define the global normalized statistic:

$$\overline{\mathbf{S}}_k = \sum_{i=1}^{H} \sum_{t=1}^{N_i} P\left(\mathbf{z}_{itk} = 1\right) \left(\mathbf{x}_{it} - \mathbf{m}_k\right)^T \mathbf{\Lambda}_k (\mathbf{x}_{it} - \mathbf{m}_k) \tag{10}$$

4 Conditional likelihood

The likelihood of the data of session i given the latent variables is

$$\ln P\left(\mathbf{X}_i | \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{W}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{\Lambda}\right) = -\sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{N_{ik} d}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{tr}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} \overline{\mathbf{S}}_{ik}\right) + \mathbf{y}_i^T \mathbf{W}^T \overline{\mathbf{F}}_i - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{y}_i^T \mathbf{W}^T \mathbf{N}_i \mathbf{W} \mathbf{y}_i \tag{11}$$

5 Variational inference with Gaussian-Gamma priors

5.1 Model priors

We introduce a *hierarchical* prior $P\left(\mathbf{W}|\alpha\right)$ over the matrix $\mathbf{W}$ governed by a n_y dimensional vector of hyperparameters where n_y is the dimension of the factors. Each hyperparameter controls one of the columns of the matrix $\mathbf{W}$ through a conditional Gaussian distribution of the form:

$$P\left(\mathbf{W}|\alpha\right) = \prod_{q=1}^{n_y} \left(\frac{\alpha_q}{2\pi}\right)^{Kd/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_q \mathbf{w}_q^T \mathbf{w}_q\right) \tag{12}$$

where $\mathbf{w}_q$ are the columns of $\mathbf{W}$. Each $alpha_q$ controls the inverse variance of the corresponding $\mathbf{w}_q$. If a particular α_q has a posterior distribution concentrated at large values, the corresponding $\mathbf{w}_q$ will tend to be small, and that direction of the latent space will be effectively 'switched off'.

We define a prior for the α:

$$P\left(\alpha\right) = \prod_{q=1}^{n_y} \mathcal{G}\left(\alpha_q | a, b\right) \tag{13}$$

where $\mathcal{G}$ denotes the Gamma distribution. Bishop defines broad priors setting $a = b = 10^{-3}$.

5.2 Variational distributions

We write the joint distribution of the latent variables:

$$P\left(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{W}, \alpha | \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{\Lambda}, a, b\right) = P\left(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{W}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{\Lambda}\right) P\left(\mathbf{Y}\right) P\left(\mathbf{W}|\alpha\right) P\left(\alpha|a, b\right) \tag{14}$$

Following, the conditioning on $(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{\Lambda}, a, b)$ will be dropped for convenience.

Now, we consider the partition of the posterior:

$$P\left(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{W}, \alpha | \mathbf{X}\right) \approx q\left(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{W}, \alpha\right) = q\left(\mathbf{Y}\right) q\left(\mathbf{W}\right) q\left(\alpha\right) \tag{15}$$

The optimum for $q^*\left(\mathbf{Y}\right)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\ln q^*\left(\mathbf{Y}\right) =& \mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{W},\alpha}\left[\ln P\left(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{W}, \alpha\right)\right] + \mathrm{const} && (16)\\
=& \mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{W}}\left[\ln P\left(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{W}\right)\right] + \ln P\left(\mathbf{Y}\right) + \mathrm{const} && (17)\\
=& \sum_{i=1}^{H} \mathbf{y}_i^T \mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{W}\right]^T \overline{\mathbf{F}}_i - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{y}_i^T \left(\mathbf{I} + \sum_{k=1}^{K} N_{ik} \mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{W}_k^T \mathbf{W}_k\right]\right) \mathbf{y}_i + \mathrm{const} && (18)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $q^*(\mathbf{Y})$ is a product of Gaussian distributions.

$$q^*(\mathbf{Y}) = \prod_{i=1}^{H} \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{y}_i | \overline{\mathbf{y}}_i, \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{y}_i}^{-1}\right) \tag{19}$$

$$\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{y}_i} = \mathbf{I} + \sum_{k=1}^{K} N_{ik} \mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{W}_k^T \mathbf{W}_k\right] \tag{20}$$

$$\overline{\mathbf{y}}_i = \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{y}_i}^{-1} \mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{W}\right]^T \overline{\mathbf{F}}_i \tag{21}$$

The optimum for $q^*(\mathbf{W})$:

$$\ln q^*(\mathbf{W}) = \mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{Y},\alpha}\left[\ln P(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{W}, \alpha)\right] + \text{const} \tag{22}$$

$$= \mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{Y}}\left[\ln P(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{W})\right] + \mathrm{E}_{\alpha}\left[\ln P(\mathbf{W}|\alpha)\right] + \text{const} \tag{23}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{H} \left(\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{y}_i\right]^T \mathbf{W}^T \overline{\mathbf{F}}_i - \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{y}_i^T \mathbf{W}^T \mathbf{N}_i \mathbf{W} \mathbf{y}_i\right] \right) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=1}^{n_y} \mathrm{E}\left[\alpha_q\right] \mathbf{w}_q^T \mathbf{w}_q + \text{const} \tag{24}$$

$$= \mathrm{tr}\left(\mathbf{W}^T \mathbf{C} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \mathbf{W}_k^T \mathbf{W}_k \mathbf{R}_k \right) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=1}^{n_y} \mathrm{E}\left[\alpha_q\right] \mathbf{w}_q^T \mathbf{w}_q + \text{const} \tag{25}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{K} \mathrm{tr}\left(\mathbf{W}_k^T \mathbf{C}_k - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{W}_k^T \mathbf{W}_k \mathbf{R}_k \right) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=1}^{d} \mathbf{w}_{kr}'^T \mathrm{diag}\left(\mathrm{E}\left[\alpha\right]\right) \mathbf{w}_{kr}' + \text{const} \tag{26}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{r=1}^{d} \mathrm{tr}\left(\mathbf{w}_{kr}' \mathbf{C}_{kr} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}_{kr}' \mathbf{w}_{kr}'^T \left(\mathrm{E}\left[\alpha\right] + \mathbf{R}_k\right) \right) + \text{const} \tag{27}$$

where $\mathbf{w}_{kr}'$ is a column vector containing the r^{th} row of $\mathbf{W}_k$,

$$\mathbf{W}_k' = \mathbf{W}_k^T \tag{28}$$

$$\mathbf{C} = \sum_{i=1}^{H} \overline{\mathbf{F}}_i \mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{y}_i\right]^T \tag{29}$$

$$\mathbf{R}_k = \sum_{i=1}^{H} N_{ik} \mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{y}_i \mathbf{y}_i^T\right] \tag{30}$$

and $\mathbf{C}_{kr}$ is the $r^t h$ of the block of $\mathbf{C}$ corresponding to component k (row $(k-1) * d + r$).

Then $q^*(\mathbf{W})$ is a product of Gaussian distributions:

$$q^*(\mathbf{W}) = \prod_{k=1}^{K} \prod_{r=1}^{d} \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{w}_{kr}' | \overline{\mathbf{w}}_{kr}', \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{W}_k}^{-1}\right) \tag{31}$$

$$\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{W}_k} = \mathrm{E}\left[\alpha\right] + \mathbf{R}_k \tag{32}$$

$$\overline{\mathbf{w}}_{kr}' = \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{W}_k}^{-1} \mathbf{C}_{kr}^T \tag{33}$$

The optimum for $q^*(\alpha)$:

$$\ln q^*(\alpha) = \mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{Y},\mathbf{W}}\left[\ln P(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{W}, \alpha)\right] + \text{const} \tag{34}$$

$$= \mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{W}}\left[\ln P(\mathbf{W}|\alpha)\right] + \ln P(\alpha|a, b) + \text{const} \tag{35}$$

$$= \sum_{q=1}^{n_y} \frac{Kd}{2} \ln \alpha_q - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_q \mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{w}_q^T \mathbf{w}_q\right] + (a-1) \ln \alpha_q - b\alpha_q + \text{const} \tag{36}$$

$$= \sum_{q=1}^{n_y} \left(\frac{Kd}{2} + a - 1 \right) \ln \alpha_q - \alpha_q \left(b + \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{w}_q^T \mathbf{w}_q\right] \right) + \text{const} \tag{37}$$

Then

$$q^*(\alpha) = \prod_{q=1}^{n_y} \mathcal{G}\left(\alpha_q | a', b'_q\right) \tag{38}$$

$$a' = a + \frac{Kd}{2} \tag{39}$$

$$b'_q = b + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{w}_q^T \mathbf{w}_q\right] \tag{40}$$

We evaluate the expectations:

$$\mathrm{E}\left[\alpha_q\right] = \frac{a'}{b'_q} \tag{41}$$

$$\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{W}\right] = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{\mathbf{w}}'^T_{11} \\ \overline{\mathbf{w}}'^T_{12} \\ \vdots \\ \overline{\mathbf{w}}'^T_{Kd} \end{bmatrix} \tag{42}$$

$$\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{w}_q^T \mathbf{w}_q\right] = \sum_{k=1}^{K}\sum_{r=1}^{d} \mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{w}'^T_{krq} \mathbf{w}'_{krq}\right] \tag{43}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{K}\sum_{r=1}^{d} \mathbf{L}^{-1}_{\mathbf{W}_k qq} + \overline{\mathbf{w}}'^2_{rkq} \tag{44}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{K} d\mathbf{L}^{-1}_{\mathbf{W}_k qq} + \sum_{r=1}^{d} \overline{\mathbf{w}}'^2_{rkq} \tag{45}$$

$$\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{W}_k^T \mathbf{W}_k\right] = \mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{W}'_k \mathbf{W}'^T_k\right] \tag{46}$$

$$= d\mathbf{L}^{-1}_{\mathbf{W}_k} + \mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{W}_k\right]^T \mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{W}_k\right] \tag{47}$$

5.3 Variational lower bound

The lower bound is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} =& \mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{Y},\mathbf{W}}\left[\ln P\left(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{Y},\mathbf{W}\right)\right] + \mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{Y}}\left[\ln P\left(\mathbf{Y}\right)\right] + \mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{W},\alpha}\left[\ln P\left(\mathbf{W}|\alpha\right)\right] + \mathrm{E}_{\alpha}\left[\ln P\left(\alpha\right)\right] \\ & - \mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{Y}}\left[\ln q\left(\mathbf{Y}\right)\right] - \mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{W}}\left[\ln q\left(\mathbf{W}\right)\right] - \mathrm{E}_{\alpha}\left[\ln q\left(\alpha\right)\right]\end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

The term $\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{Y},\mathbf{W}}\left[\ln P\left(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{Y},\mathbf{W}\right)\right]$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{Y},\mathbf{W}}\left[\ln P\left(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{Y},\mathbf{W}\right)\right] =& -\sum_{k=1}^{K}\frac{N_k d}{2}\log(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{K}\overline{\mathbf{S}}_k\right) \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^{H}\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{y}_i\right]^T \mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{W}\right]^T \overline{\mathbf{F}}_i - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{K}\sum_{i=1}^{H}\mathrm{tr}\left(N_{ik}\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{W}_k^T\mathbf{W}_k\right]\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{y}_i\mathbf{y}_i^T\right]\right) \\ =& -\sum_{k=1}^{K}\frac{N_k d}{2}\log(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{K}\overline{\mathbf{S}}_k\right) \\ & - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\left(-2\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{W}\right]^T\mathbf{C} + \sum_{k=1}^{K}\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{W}_k^T\mathbf{W}_k\right]\mathbf{R}_k\right)\end{aligned} \tag{49, 50}$$

The term $\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{Y}}\left[\ln P\left(\mathbf{Y}\right)\right]$:

$$\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{Y}}\left[\ln P\left(\mathbf{Y}\right)\right] = -\frac{Hn_y}{2}\ln(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{H}\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{y}_i\mathbf{y}_i^T\right]\right) \tag{51}$$

$$= -\frac{Hn_y}{2}\ln(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\left(\mathrm{P}\right) \tag{52}$$

where

$$\mathrm{P} = \sum_{i=1}^{H} \mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{y}_i \mathbf{y}_i^T\right] \tag{53}$$

The term $\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{W},\alpha}\left[\ln P\left(\mathbf{W}|\alpha\right)\right]$:

$$\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{W},\alpha}\left[\ln P\left(\mathbf{W}|\alpha\right)\right] = -\frac{n_y K d}{2}\ln(2\pi) + \frac{Kd}{2}\sum_{q=1}^{n_y}\mathrm{E}\left[\ln\alpha_q\right] - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{q=1}^{n_y}\mathrm{E}\left[\alpha_q\right]\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{w}_q^T\mathbf{w}_q\right] \tag{54}$$

where

$$\mathrm{E}\left[\ln\alpha_q\right] = \psi(a') - \ln b'_q \tag{55}$$

where ψ is the digamma function.

The term $\mathrm{E}_{\alpha}\left[\ln P\left(\alpha\right)\right]$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{E}_{\alpha}\left[\ln P\left(\alpha\right)\right] =& n_y\left(a\ln b - \ln\Gamma\left(a\right)\right) + \sum_{q=1}^{n_y}(a-1)\mathrm{E}\left[\ln\alpha_q\right] - b\mathrm{E}\left[\alpha_q\right] &(56)\\ =& n_y\left(a\ln b - \ln\Gamma\left(a\right)\right) + (a-1)\sum_{q=1}^{n_y}\mathrm{E}\left[\ln\alpha_q\right] - b\sum_{q=1}^{n_y}\mathrm{E}\left[\alpha_q\right] &(57)\end{aligned}$$

The term $\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{Y}}\left[\ln q\left(\mathbf{Y}\right)\right]$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{Y}}\left[\ln q\left(\mathbf{Y}\right)\right] =& -\frac{Hn_y}{2}\ln(2\pi) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{H}\ln|\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{y}_i}| - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\left(\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{y}_i}\mathrm{E}\left[\left(\mathbf{y}_i - \overline{\mathbf{y}}_i\right)\left(\mathbf{y}_i - \overline{\mathbf{y}}_i\right)^T\right]\right) &(58)\\ =& -\frac{Hn_y}{2}\ln(2\pi) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{H}\ln|\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{y}_i}| \\ & - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{H}\mathrm{tr}\left(\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{y}_i}\left(\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{y}_i\mathbf{y}_i^T\right] - \overline{\mathbf{y}}_i\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{y}_i\right]^T - \mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{y}_i\right]\overline{\mathbf{y}}_i^T + \overline{\mathbf{y}}_i\overline{\mathbf{y}}_i^T\right)\right) &(59)\\ =& -\frac{Hn_y}{2}\ln(2\pi) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{H}\ln|\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{y}_i}| - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{H}\mathrm{tr}\left(\mathbf{I}\right) &(60)\\ =& -\frac{Hn_y}{2}(\ln(2\pi)+1) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{H}\ln|\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{y}_i}| &(61)\end{aligned}$$

The term $\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{W}}\left[\ln q\left(\mathbf{W}\right)\right]$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{W}}\left[\ln q\left(\mathbf{W}\right)\right] =& -\frac{Kdn_y}{2}\ln(2\pi) + \frac{d}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{K}\ln|\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{W}_k}| \\ & - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{K}\sum_{r=1}^{d}\mathrm{tr}\left(\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{W}_k}\mathrm{E}\left[\left(\mathbf{w}'_{kr} - \overline{\mathbf{w}}'_{kr}\right)\left(\mathbf{w}'_{kr} - \overline{\mathbf{w}}'_{kr}\right)^T\right]\right) &(62)\\ =& -\frac{Kdn_y}{2}\left(\ln(2\pi)+1\right) + \frac{d}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{K}\ln|\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{W}_k}| &(63)\end{aligned}$$

The term $\mathrm{E}_{\alpha}\left[\ln q\left(\alpha\right)\right]$:

$$\mathrm{E}_{\alpha}\left[\ln q\left(\alpha\right)\right] = -\sum_{q=1}^{n_y} \mathrm{H}\left[q\left(\alpha_q\right)\right] \tag{64}$$

$$= \sum_{q=1}^{n_y} (a'-1)\psi(a') + \ln b'_q - a' - \ln\Gamma\left(a'\right) \tag{65}$$

$$= n_y\left((a'-1)\psi(a') - a' - \ln\Gamma\left(a'\right)\right) + \sum_{q=1}^{n_y} \ln b'_q \tag{66}$$

5.4 Hyperparameter optimization

We can set the Hyperparameters manually or estimate them from the development data maximizing the lower bound.

We derive for a:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial a} = n_y\left(\ln b - \psi(a)\right) + \sum_{q=1}^{n_y} \mathrm{E}\left[\ln \alpha_q\right] = 0 \quad \Longrightarrow \tag{67}$$

$$\psi(a) = \ln b + \frac{1}{n_y}\sum_{q=1}^{n_y} \mathrm{E}\left[\ln \alpha_q\right] \tag{68}$$

We derive for b:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial b} = \frac{n_y a}{b} - \sum_{q=1}^{n_y} \mathrm{E}\left[\alpha_q\right] = \mathbf{0} \quad \Longrightarrow \tag{69}$$

$$b = \left(\frac{1}{n_y a}\sum_{q=1}^{n_y} \mathrm{E}\left[\alpha_q\right]\right)^{-1} \tag{70}$$

We solve these equation with the procedure described in [3]. We write

$$\psi(a) = \ln b + c \tag{71}$$

$$b = \frac{a}{d} \tag{72}$$

where

$$c = \frac{1}{n_y}\sum_{q=1}^{n_y} \mathrm{E}\left[\ln \alpha_q\right] \tag{73}$$

$$d = \frac{1}{n_y}\sum_{q=1}^{n_y} \mathrm{E}\left[\alpha_q\right] \tag{74}$$

Then

$$f(a) = \psi(a) - \ln a + \ln d - c = 0 \tag{75}$$

We can solve for a using Newton-Rhaphson iterations:

$$a_{new} = a - \frac{f(a)}{f'(a)} = \tag{76}$$

$$= a\left(1 - \frac{\psi(a) - \ln a + \ln d - c}{a\psi'(a) - 1}\right) \tag{77}$$

This algorithm does not assure that a remains positive. We can put a minimum value for a. Alternatively we can solve the equation for $\tilde{a}$ such as $a = exp(\tilde{a})$.

$$\tilde{a}_{new} = \tilde{a} - \frac{f(\tilde{a})}{f'(\tilde{a})} = \tag{78}$$

$$= \tilde{a} - \frac{\psi(a) - \ln a + \ln d - c}{\psi'(a)a - 1} \tag{79}$$

Taking exponential in both sides:

$$a_{new} = a \exp\left(-\frac{\psi(a) - \ln a + \ln d - c}{\psi'(a)a - 1}\right) \tag{80}$$

5.5 Minimum divergence

We assume a more general prior for the hidden variables:

$$P(\mathbf{y}) = \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{y}|\mu_{\mathbf{y}}, \mathbf{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{y}}^{-1}\right) \tag{81}$$

To minimize the divergence we maximize the part of $\mathcal{L}$ that depends on $\mu_{\mathbf{y}}$:

$$\mathcal{L}(\mu_{\mathbf{y}}, \mathbf{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{y}}) = \sum_{i=1}^{H} \mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{Y}}\left[\ln \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{y}|\mu_{\mathbf{y}}, \mathbf{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{y}}^{-1}\right)\right] \tag{82}$$

The, we get

$$\mu_{\mathbf{y}} = \frac{1}{H}\sum_{i=1}^{M} \mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{Y}}\left[\mathbf{y}_i\right] \tag{83}$$

$$\mathbf{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{y}}^{-1} = \frac{1}{H}\sum_{i=1}^{H} \mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{Y}}\left[\left(\mathbf{y}_i - \mu_{\mathbf{y}}\right)\left(\mathbf{y}_i - \mu_{\mathbf{y}}\right)^T\right] \tag{84}$$

$$= \frac{1}{H}\sum_{i=1}^{H} \mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{Y}}\left[\mathbf{y}_i \mathbf{y}_i^T\right] - \mu_{\mathbf{y}}\mu_{\mathbf{y}}^T \tag{85}$$

We have a transform $\mathbf{y} = \phi(\mathbf{y}')$ such as $\mathbf{y}'$ has a standard prior:

$$\mathbf{y} = \mu_{\mathbf{y}} + (\mathbf{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{y}}^{1/2})^T \mathbf{y}' \tag{86}$$

Now, we get $q(\mathbf{W})$ such us if we apply the transform $\mathbf{y}' = \phi^{-1}(\mathbf{y})$, the term $\mathrm{E}\left[\ln P(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{W})\right]$ of $\mathcal{L}$ remains constant:

$$\overline{\mathbf{w}}'_{kr} \leftarrow \mathbf{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{y}}^{1/2} \overline{\mathbf{w}}'_{kr} \tag{87}$$

$$\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{W}_k}^{-1} \leftarrow \mathbf{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{y}}^{1/2} \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{W}_k}^{-1} (\mathbf{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{y}}^{1/2})^T \tag{88}$$

$$\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{W}_k} \leftarrow \left((\mathbf{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{y}}^{1/2})^{-1}\right)^T \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{W}_k} (\mathbf{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{y}}^{1/2})^{-1} \tag{89}$$

6 Variational inference with full covariance priors

6.1 Model priors

Lets assume that we compute the posterior of $\mathbf{W}$ given a development database with a large amount of data. If we want to compute the posterior $\mathbf{W}$ for a small database we could use the posterior given the large database as prior. Thus, we take a prior distribution for $\mathbf{W}$

$$P(\mathbf{W}) = \prod_{k=1}^{K}\prod_{r=1}^{d} \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{w}'_{kr}|\overline{\mathbf{w}}'_{0kr}, \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{W}_{0k}}^{-1}\right) \tag{90}$$

where $\overline{\mathbf{w}}'_{0kr}$, $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{W}_{0k}}^{-1}$ are parameters computed with the large dataset.

6.2 Variational distributions

The joint distribution of the latent variables:

$$P\left(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y},\mathbf{W}\right)=P\left(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{Y},\mathbf{W},\mathbf{m},\mathbf{\Lambda}\right)P\left(\mathbf{Y}\right)P\left(\mathbf{W}\right) \tag{91}$$

We approximate the posterior by:

$$P\left(\mathbf{Y},\mathbf{W}|\mathbf{X}\right)\approx q\left(\mathbf{Y},\mathbf{W}\right)=q\left(\mathbf{Y}\right)q\left(\mathbf{W}\right) \tag{92}$$

The optimum for $q^{*}\left(\mathbf{Y}\right)$ is the same as in section 5.2.
The optimum for $q^{*}\left(\mathbf{W}\right)$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
\ln q^{*}\left(\mathbf{W}\right) =& \mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{Y}}\left[\ln P\left(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y},\mathbf{W}\right)\right]+\text{const} && (93)\\
=& \mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{Y}}\left[\ln P\left(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{Y},\mathbf{W}\right)\right]+\ln P\left(\mathbf{W}\right)+\text{const} && (94)\\
=& \sum_{k=1}^{K}\sum_{r=1}^{d}\mathrm{tr}\left(\mathbf{w}'_{kr}\mathbf{C}_{kr}-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{w}'_{kr}\mathbf{w}'^{T}_{kr}\mathbf{R}_{k}\right)-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{w}'_{kr}-\overline{\mathbf{w}}'_{0kr})^{T}\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{W}_{0k}}(\mathbf{w}'_{kr}-\overline{\mathbf{w}}'_{0kr})+\text{const} && (95)\\
=& \sum_{k=1}^{K}\sum_{r=1}^{d}\mathrm{tr}\left(\mathbf{w}'_{kr}\left(\overline{\mathbf{w}}'^{T}_{0kr}\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{W}_{0k}}+\mathbf{C}_{kr}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{w}'_{kr}\mathbf{w}'^{T}_{kr}\left(\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{W}_{0k}}+\mathbf{R}_{k}\right)\right)+\text{const} && (96)\\
& && (97)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the $q^{*}\left(\mathbf{W}\right)$ is, again, a product of Gaussian distributions:

$$\begin{aligned}
q^{*}\left(\mathbf{W}\right) =& \prod_{k=1}^{K}\prod_{r=1}^{d}\mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{w}'_{kr}|\overline{\mathbf{w}}'_{kr},\mathbf{L}^{-1}_{\mathbf{W}_{k}}\right) && (98)\\
\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{W}_{k}} =& \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{W}_{0k}}+\mathbf{R}_{k} && (99)\\
\overline{\mathbf{w}}'_{kr} =& \mathbf{L}^{-1}_{\mathbf{W}_{k}}\left(\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{W}_{0k}}\overline{\mathbf{w}}'_{0kr}+\mathbf{C}^{T}_{kr}\right) && (100)
\end{aligned}$$

6.3 Variational lower bound

The lower bound is given by

$$\mathcal{L} =\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{Y},\mathbf{W}}\left[\ln P\left(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{Y},\mathbf{W}\right)\right]+\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{Y}}\left[\ln P\left(\mathbf{Y}\right)\right]+\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{W}}\left[\ln P\left(\mathbf{W}\right)\right]-\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{Y}}\left[\ln q\left(\mathbf{Y}\right)\right]-\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{W}}\left[\ln q\left(\mathbf{W}\right)\right] \tag{101}$$

The term $\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{W}}\left[\ln P\left(\mathbf{W}\right)\right]$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{W}}\left[\ln P\left(\mathbf{W}\right)\right] =& -\frac{n_y K d}{2}\ln(2\pi)+\frac{d}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{K}\ln\left|\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{W}_{0k}}\right| \\
&-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{K}\sum_{r=1}^{d}\mathrm{tr}\left(\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{W}_{0k}}\mathrm{E}\left[\left(\mathbf{w}'_{kr}-\overline{\mathbf{w}}'_{0kr}\right)\left(\mathbf{w}'_{kr}-\overline{\mathbf{w}}'_{0kr}\right)^{T}\right]\right) && (102)\\
=& -\frac{n_y K d}{2}\ln(2\pi)+\frac{d}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{K}\ln\left|\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{W}_{0k}}\right| \\
&-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{K}\sum_{r=1}^{d}\mathrm{tr}\left(\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{W}_{0k}}\left(\mathbf{L}^{-1}_{\mathbf{W}_k}+\overline{\mathbf{w}}'_{kr}\overline{\mathbf{w}}'^{T}_{kr}-\overline{\mathbf{w}}'_{0kr}\overline{\mathbf{w}}'^{T}_{kr}-\overline{\mathbf{w}}'_{kr}\overline{\mathbf{w}}'^{T}_{0kr}+\overline{\mathbf{w}}'_{0kr}\overline{\mathbf{w}}'^{T}_{0kr}\right)\right) && (103)\\
=& -\frac{n_y K d}{2}\ln(2\pi)+\frac{d}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{K}\ln\left|\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{W}_{0k}}\right| \\
&-\frac{d}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{K}\mathrm{tr}\left(\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{W}_{0k}}\mathbf{L}^{-1}_{\mathbf{W}_k}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{K}\sum_{r=1}^{d}(\overline{\mathbf{w}}'_{kr}-\overline{\mathbf{w}}'_{0kr})^{T}\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{W}_{0k}}(\overline{\mathbf{w}}'_{kr}-\overline{\mathbf{w}}'_{0kr}) && (104)\\
=& -\frac{n_y K d}{2}\ln(2\pi)+\frac{d}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{K}\ln\left|\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{W}_{0k}}\right| \\
&-\frac{d}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{K}\mathrm{tr}\left(\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{W}_{0k}}\mathbf{L}^{-1}_{\mathbf{W}_k}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{K}\mathrm{tr}\left(\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{W}_{0k}}\sum_{r=1}^{d}\left(\overline{\mathbf{w}}'_{kr}-\overline{\mathbf{w}}'_{0kr}\right)\left(\overline{\mathbf{w}}'_{kr}-\overline{\mathbf{w}}'_{0kr}\right)^{T}\right) && (105)
\end{aligned}$$

The rest of terms are the same as the ones in section 5.3.

7 Variational inference with Gaussian-Gamma priors for high rank W

The amount of memory needed for the factor analyser grows quadratically with the dimension of the factor vector n_y. Due to that, we are limited to use small i-vectors ($n_y < 1000$). We are going to modify the variational partition function so that the memory grows linearly with the number of factors. We derive the equations for the case of Gaussian-Gamma prior for $\mathbf{W}$.

7.1 Variational distributions

We choose the partition function:

$$P\left(\mathbf{Y},\mathbf{W},\alpha|\mathbf{X}\right)\approx q\left(\mathbf{Y},\mathbf{W},\alpha\right)=\prod_{p=1}^{P}q\left(\mathbf{Y}^{(p)}\right)q\left(\mathbf{W}^{(p)}\right)q\left(\alpha\right) \tag{106}$$

where

$$\mathbf{Y}=\begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{Y}^{(1)}\\ \mathbf{Y}^{(2)}\\ \vdots\\ \mathbf{Y}^{(P)}\end{bmatrix} \tag{107}$$

We define the blocks $\mathbf{W}_k$, $\mathbf{W}^{(p)}$ and $\mathbf{W}_k^{(p)}$ of $\mathbf{W}$ such as

$$\mathbf{W}=\begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{W}_1\\ \mathbf{W}_2\\ \vdots\\ \mathbf{W}_K\end{bmatrix}=\begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{W}^{(1)} & \mathbf{W}^{(2)} & \dots & \mathbf{W}^{(P)}\end{bmatrix}=\begin{bmatrix}\mathbf{W}_1^{(1)} & \mathbf{W}_1^{(2)} & \dots & \mathbf{W}_1^{(P)}\\ \mathbf{W}_2^{(1)} & \mathbf{W}_2^{(2)} & \dots & \mathbf{W}_2^{(P)}\\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ \mathbf{W}_K^{(1)} & \mathbf{W}_K^{(2)} & \dots & \mathbf{W}_K^{(P)}\end{bmatrix} \tag{108}$$

This partition function assumes that there are groups of components of the i-vectors that are independent between them in the posterior. For example, the components in $\mathbf{Y}_1$ would be independent from the components in $\mathbf{Y}_2$ but the components inside $\mathbf{Y}_1$ would be dependent between them. We are going to assume that every group has the same number of components $\tilde{n}_y = n_y/P$.

The optimum for $q^*\left(\mathbf{Y}^{(p)}\right)$:

$$\ln q^*\left(\mathbf{Y}^{(p)}\right) = \mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{W},\alpha,\mathbf{Y}^{(s\neq p)}}\left[\ln P\left(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y},\mathbf{W},\alpha\right)\right] + \text{const} \tag{109}$$

$$= \mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{W},\mathbf{Y}^{(s\neq p)}}\left[\ln P\left(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{Y},\mathbf{W}\right)\right] + \ln P\left(\mathbf{Y}^{(p)}\right) + \text{const} \tag{110}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{H} \mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{Y}^{(s\neq p)}}\left[\mathbf{y}_i\right]^T \mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{W}\right]^T \overline{\mathbf{F}}_i - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{K} N_{ik}\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{W},\mathbf{Y}^{(s\neq p)}}\left[\mathbf{y}_i^T\mathbf{W}_k^T\mathbf{W}_k\mathbf{y}_i\right] - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{y}^{(p)T}\mathbf{y}^{(p)} + \text{const} \tag{111}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{H} \mathbf{y}_i^{(p)T}\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{W}^{(p)}\right]^T \overline{\mathbf{F}}_i - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{K} N_{ik}\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{W},\mathbf{Y}^{(s\neq p)}}\left[\sum_{n=1}^{P}\sum_{m=1}^{P}\mathbf{y}_i^{(n)T}\mathbf{W}_k^{(n)T}\mathbf{W}_k^{(m)}\mathbf{y}_i^{(m)}\right] - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{y}^{(p)T}\mathbf{y}^{(p)} + \text{const} \tag{112}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{H} \mathbf{y}_i^{(p)T}\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{W}^{(p)}\right]^T \overline{\mathbf{F}}_i - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{y}_i^{(p)T}\left(\mathbf{I} + \sum_{k=1}^{K} N_{ik}\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{W}_k^{(p)T}\mathbf{W}_k^{(p)}\right]\right)\mathbf{y}_i^{(p)} - \mathbf{y}_i^{(p)T}\sum_{k=1}^{K} N_{ik}\sum_{n\neq p}\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{W}_k^{(p)T}\mathbf{W}_k^{(n)}\right]\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{y}_i^{(n)}\right] + \text{const} \tag{113}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{H} \mathbf{y}_i^{(p)T}\sum_{k=1}^{K}\left(\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{W}_k^{(p)}\right]^T \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{ik} - N_{ik}\sum_{n\neq p}\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{W}_k^{(p)T}\mathbf{W}_k^{(n)}\right]\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{y}_i^{(n)}\right]\right) - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{y}_i^{(p)T}\left(\mathbf{I} + \sum_{k=1}^{K} N_{ik}\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{W}_k^{(p)T}\mathbf{W}_k^{(p)}\right]\right)\mathbf{y}_i^{(p)} + \text{const} \tag{114}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{H} \mathbf{y}_i^{(p)T}\sum_{k=1}^{K}\left(\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{W}_k^{(p)}\right]^T \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{ik} - N_{ik}\sum_{n\neq p}\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{W}_k^{(p)}\right]^T\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{W}_k^{(n)}\right]\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{y}_i^{(n)}\right]\right) - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{y}_i^{(p)T}\left(\mathbf{I} + \sum_{k=1}^{K} N_{ik}\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{W}_k^{(p)T}\mathbf{W}_k^{(p)}\right]\right)\mathbf{y}_i^{(p)} + \text{const} \tag{115}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{H} \mathbf{y}_i^{(p)T}\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{W}^{(p)}\right]^T\left(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_i - \mathbf{N}_i\sum_{n\neq p}\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{W}^{(n)}\right]\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{y}_i^{(n)}\right]\right) - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{y}_i^{(p)T}\left(\mathbf{I} + \sum_{k=1}^{K} N_{ik}\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{W}_k^{(p)T}\mathbf{W}_k^{(p)}\right]\right)\mathbf{y}_i^{(p)} + \text{const} \tag{116}$$

Therefore $q^*\left(\mathbf{Y}^{(p)}\right)$ is a product of Gaussian distributions.

$$q^*\left(\mathbf{Y}^{(p)}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^{H}\mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{y}_i^{(p)}|\overline{\mathbf{y}}_i^{(p)},\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{y}_i}^{(p)^{-1}}\right) \tag{117}$$

$$\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{y}_i}^{(p)} = \mathbf{I} + \sum_{k=1}^{K} N_{ik}\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{W}_k^{(p)T}\mathbf{W}_k^{(p)}\right] \tag{118}$$

$$\overline{\mathbf{y}}_i^{(p)} = \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{y}_i}^{(p)^{-1}}\overline{\mathbf{F}}_i - \mathbf{N}_i\sum_{n\neq p}\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{W}^{(n)}\right]\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{y}_i^{(n)}\right] \tag{119}$$

The optimum for $q^*\left(\mathbf{W}^{(p)}\right)$:

$$\ln q^*\left(\mathbf{W}^{(p)}\right) = \mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{Y},\mathbf{W}^{(s\neq p)},\alpha}\left[\ln P\left(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y},\mathbf{W},\alpha\right)\right] + \text{const} \tag{120}$$

$$= \mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{Y},\mathbf{W}^{(s\neq p)}}\left[\ln P\left(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{Y},\mathbf{W}\right)\right] + \mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{W}^{(s\neq p)},\alpha}\left[\ln P\left(\mathbf{W}|\alpha\right)\right] + \text{const} \tag{121}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{H}\left(\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{y}_i^{(p)}\right]^T \mathbf{W}^{(p)T}\overline{\mathbf{F}}_i - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{Y},\mathbf{W}^{(s\neq p)}}\left[\mathbf{y}_i^T\mathbf{W}^T\mathbf{N}_i\mathbf{W}\mathbf{y}_i\right]\right) - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{q=1}^{\tilde{n}_y}\mathrm{E}\left[\alpha_q^{(p)}\right]\mathbf{w}_q^{(p)T}\mathbf{w}_q^{(p)} + \text{const} \tag{122}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{H}\left(\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{y}_i^{(p)}\right]^T \mathbf{W}^{(p)T}\overline{\mathbf{F}}_i - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{K}N_{ik}\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{Y},\mathbf{W}^{(s\neq p)}}\left[\sum_{n=1}^{P}\sum_{m=1}^{P}\mathbf{y}_i^{(n)T}\mathbf{W}_k^{(n)T}\mathbf{W}_k^{(m)}\mathbf{y}_i^{(m)}\right]\right) - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{q=1}^{\tilde{n}_y}\mathrm{E}\left[\alpha_q^{(p)}\right]\mathbf{w}_q^{(p)T}\mathbf{w}_q^{(p)} + \text{const} \tag{123}$$

$$= \mathrm{tr}\left(\mathbf{W}^{(p)T}\sum_{i=1}^{H}\overline{\mathbf{F}}_i\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{y}_i^{(p)}\right]^T - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{K}\sum_{n=1}^{P}\sum_{m=1}^{P}\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{W}^{(s\neq p)}}\left[\mathbf{W}_k^{(n)T}\mathbf{W}^{(m)}\right]\sum_{i=1}^{H}N_{ik}\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{y}_i^{(m)}\mathbf{y}_i^{(n)T}\right]\right) - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{q=1}^{\tilde{n}_y}\mathrm{E}\left[\alpha_q^{(p)}\right]\mathbf{w}_q^{(p)T}\mathbf{w}_q^{(p)} + \text{const} \tag{124}$$

$$= \mathrm{tr}\left(\mathbf{W}^{(p)T}\sum_{i=1}^{H}\left(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_i - \mathbf{N}_i\sum_{n\neq p}\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{W}^{(n)}\right]\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{y}_i^{(n)}\right]\right)\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{y}_i^{(p)}\right]^T - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{K}\mathbf{W}_k^{(p)T}\mathbf{W}^{(p)}\sum_{i=1}^{H}N_{ik}\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{y}_i^{(p)}\mathbf{y}_i^{(p)T}\right]\right) - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{q=1}^{\tilde{n}_y}\mathrm{E}\left[\alpha_q^{(p)}\right]\mathbf{w}_q^{(p)T}\mathbf{w}_q^{(p)} + \text{const} \tag{125}$$

$$= \mathrm{tr}\left(\mathbf{W}^{(p)T}\mathbf{C}^{(p)} - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{K}\mathbf{W}_k^{(p)T}\mathbf{W}_k^{(p)}\mathbf{R}_k^{(p)}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{q=1}^{\tilde{n}_y}\mathrm{E}\left[\alpha_q^{(p)}\right]\mathbf{w}_q^{(p)T}\mathbf{w}_q^{(p)} + \text{const} \tag{126}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{K}\mathrm{tr}\left(\mathbf{W}^{(p)T}\mathbf{C}^{(p)} - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{W}_k^{(p)T}\mathbf{W}_k^{(p)}\mathbf{R}_k^{(p)}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{r=1}^{d}\mathbf{w}_{kr}'^{(p)T}\mathrm{diag}\left(\mathrm{E}\left[\alpha^{(p)}\right]\right)\mathbf{w}_{kr}'^{(p)} + \text{const} \tag{127}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{K}\sum_{r=1}^{d}\mathrm{tr}\left(\mathbf{w}_{kr}'^{(p)}\mathbf{C}_{kr}^{(p)} - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{w}_{kr}'^{(p)}\mathbf{w}_{kr}'^{(p)T}\left(\mathrm{E}\left[\alpha^{(p)}\right] + \mathbf{R}_k^{(p)}\right)\right) + \text{const} \tag{128}$$

where $\mathbf{w}_{kr}'^{(p)}$ is a column vector containing the r^{th} row of $\mathbf{W}_k^{(p)}$,

$$\mathbf{C}^{(p)} = \sum_{i=1}^{H}\left(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_i - \mathbf{N}_i\sum_{n\neq p}\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{W}^{(n)}\right]\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{y}_i^{(n)}\right]\right)\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{y}_i^{(p)}\right]^T \tag{129}$$

$$\mathbf{R}_k^{(p)} = \sum_{i=1}^{H}N_{ik}\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{y}_i^{(p)}\mathbf{y}_i^{(p)T}\right] \tag{130}$$

and $\mathbf{C}_{kr}^{(p)}$ is the r^th of the block of $\mathbf{C}^{(p)}$ corresponding to component k (row $(k-1)*d+r$).

Then $q^*\left(\mathbf{W}^{(p)}\right)$ is a product of Gaussian distributions:

$$q^*\left(\mathbf{W}^{(p)}\right) = \prod_{k=1}^{K}\prod_{r=1}^{d}\mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{w}_{kr}^{\prime(p)}|\overline{\mathbf{w}}_{kr}^{\prime(p)}, \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{W}_k}^{(p)^{-1}}\right) \tag{131}$$

$$\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{W}_k}^{(p)} = \mathrm{E}\left[\alpha^{(p)}\right] + \mathbf{R}_k^{(p)} \tag{132}$$

$$\overline{\mathbf{w}}_{kr}^{\prime(p)} = \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{W}_k}^{(p)^{-1}}\mathbf{C}_{kr}^{(p)T} \tag{133}$$

The optimum for $q^*(\alpha)$ is the same as in equation (38).
We need to evaluate the expectations:

$$\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{w}_q^{(p)T}\mathbf{w}_q^{(p)}\right] = \sum_{k=1}^{K} d\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{W}_k qq}^{(p)^{-1}} + \sum_{r=1}^{d}\overline{\mathbf{w}}_{rkq}^{\prime(p)^2} \tag{134}$$

$$\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{W}_k^{(p)T}\mathbf{W}_k^{(p)T}\right] = \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{W}_k}^{(p)^{-1}} + \mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{W}_k^{(p)}\right]^T \mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{W}_k^{(p)}\right] \tag{135}$$

7.2 Variational lower bound

The lower bound is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} =& \mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{Y},\mathbf{W}}\left[\ln P\left(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{Y},\mathbf{W}\right)\right] + \mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{Y}}\left[\ln P\left(\mathbf{Y}\right)\right] + \mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{W},\alpha}\left[\ln P\left(\mathbf{W}|\alpha\right)\right] + \mathrm{E}_{\alpha}\left[\ln P\left(\alpha\right)\right] \\ &- \sum_{p=1}^{P}\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{Y}^{(p)}}\left[\ln q\left(\mathbf{Y}^{(p)}\right)\right] - \sum_{p=1}^{P}\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{W}^{(p)}}\left[\ln q\left(\mathbf{W}^{(p)}\right)\right] - \mathrm{E}_{\alpha}\left[\ln q\left(\alpha\right)\right]\end{aligned} \tag{136}$$

The term $\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{Y},\mathbf{W}}\left[\ln P\left(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{Y},\mathbf{W}\right)\right]$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{Y},\mathbf{W}}\left[\ln P\left(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{Y},\mathbf{W}\right)\right] =& -\sum_{k=1}^{K}\frac{N_k d}{2}\log(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{K}\overline{\mathbf{S}}_k\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{H}\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{y}_i\right]^T\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{W}\right]^T\overline{\mathbf{F}}_i \\ &- \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{K}\sum_{i=1}^{H}\sum_{n=1}^{P}\sum_{m=1}^{P}\mathrm{tr}\left(N_{ik}\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{W}_k^{(n)T}\mathbf{W}_k^{(m)}\right]\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{y}_i^{(m)}\mathbf{y}_i^{(n)T}\right]\right)\end{aligned} \tag{137}$$

$$\begin{aligned}=& -\sum_{k=1}^{K}\frac{N_k d}{2}\log(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{K}\overline{\mathbf{S}}_k\right) + \mathrm{tr}\left(\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{W}\right]^T\mathbf{C}\right) \\ &- \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{K}\sum_{n=1}^{P}\mathrm{tr}\left(\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{W}_k^{(n)T}\mathbf{W}_k^{(n)}\right]\mathbf{R}_k^{(n)}\right. \\ &\left. +2\sum_{m=n+1}^{P}\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{W}_k^{(n)}\right]^T\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{W}_k^{(m)}\right]\mathbf{R}_k^{(m,n)}\right)\end{aligned} \tag{138}$$

where

$$\mathbf{R}_k^{(m,n)} = \sum_{i=1}^{H}N_{ik}\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{y}_i^{(m)}\right]\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{y}_i^{(n)}\right]^T \tag{139}$$

The term $\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{Y}}\left[\ln P\left(\mathbf{Y}\right)\right]$:

$$\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{Y}}\left[\ln P\left(\mathbf{Y}\right)\right] = -\frac{Hn_y}{2}\ln(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{H}\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{y}_i\mathbf{y}_i^T\right]\right) \tag{140}$$

$$= -\frac{Hn_y}{2}\ln(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{p=1}^{P}\mathrm{tr}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{H}\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{y}_i^{(p)}\mathbf{y}_i^{(p)T}\right]\right) \tag{141}$$

$$= -\frac{Hn_y}{2}\ln(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{p=1}^{P}\mathrm{tr}\left(\mathrm{P}^{(p)}\right) \tag{142}$$

where

$$\mathrm{P}^{(p)} = \sum_{i=1}^{H} \mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{y}_i^{(p)} \mathbf{y}_i^{(p)T}\right] \tag{143}$$

The term $\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{Y}^{(p)}}\left[\ln q\left(\mathbf{Y}^{(p)}\right)\right]$:

$$\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{Y}^{(p)}}\left[\ln q\left(\mathbf{Y}^{(p)}\right)\right] = -\frac{H\tilde{n}_y}{2}(\ln(2\pi)+1) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{H} \ln\left|\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{y}_i}^{(p)}\right| \tag{144}$$

The term $\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{W}^{(p)}}\left[\ln q\left(\mathbf{W}^{(p)}\right)\right]$:

$$\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{W}^{(p)}}\left[\ln q\left(\mathbf{W}^{(p)}\right)\right] = -\frac{Kd\tilde{n}_y}{2}(\ln(2\pi)+1) + \frac{d}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{K} \ln\left|\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{W}_k}^{(p)}\right| \tag{145}$$

The rest of terms are the same as in section 5.3.

References

[1] Patrick Kenny, "Joint factor analysis of speaker and session variability : Theory and algorithms - Technical report CRIM-06/08-13," Tech. Rep., CRIM, Montreal, 2005.

[2] C M Bishop, "Variational principal components," *9th International Conference on Artificial Neural Networks ICANN 99*, vol. 1, no. 470, pp. 509–514, 1999.

[3] Matthew J Beal, "Variational algorithms for approximate Bayesian inference," *Philosophy*, vol. 38, no. May, pp. 1–281, 2003.